

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
CLERY ACT TRAINING
MARCH 1 and 2, 2001 – Northern California
MARCH 5 and 6, 2001 – Southern California

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM FOCUS GROUPS

Following training presented by Chief Dolores Stafford, members of the training sessions broke into small groups to discuss and identify procedures that needed clarification and/or specific guidance for adoption at campuses of the University of California. The results of these discussions at each training session is presented below:

- Campuses need coordinated assistance in identifying and reporting on:
 - Separate campuses such as Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Bodega Marine Laboratory, Medical Centers, Office of the President, etc...
 - Research stations, both in-state and out-of-state, such as agricultural field stations.
 - Continuing education or university extension classrooms.
- Campuses need coordinated assistance on identifying campus security authorities.
- Designate a high level official on each campus to be sure that compliance is accomplished. Make sure appropriate funds are allocated to the effort.
- It is difficult to get faculty who are campus security authorities to report statistics. It would be helpful to have direction from the appropriate offices.
- Campus security authorities must be sure to treat reported rapes with sensitivity. Numbers can be collected while maintaining confidentiality of victims.
- Focused training sessions should be developed for campus security authorities (e.g. counselors, student housing staff, student judicial officers, coaches, student group advisors, etc...) Consider a website based tutorial.
- Resources to produce safety brochures are not available at each location. A standard statistical summary of crimes would be helpful to campuses.
- Reporting on adjacent property needs clarification.
- Incorporate state crime reporting laws in the UC Clery Act Guidelines to create a “one-stop-shopping” guideline for campus compliance with pertinent laws.
- With respect to timely warnings, campus police departments should maintain the responsibility for determining the potential threat to the community.

- Hate crimes rarely take the form of the crimes as defined by the Clery Act. Hate crimes are usually displayed as vandalism or crimes against property. The FBI does not consider rape to be a hate crime unless there is clear evidence of gender bias in the incident.
- Standardize the reporting requirements as much as possible using boilerplates, standard letters to campus security authorities and local police. These should all be in the UC Clery Act Guidelines.
- Alert campus Human Resource offices to report workplace violence.
- Develop tag teams and trainers who can provide on-going technical expertise. Office of the President sponsored training is invaluable.
- Clery trainers and statisticians should have access to the IACLEA listserv.
- The daily crime log should be clearly named and defined as a separate document for purposes of Clery Act compliance.
- Include in the UC Clery Act Guidelines a standard translation from California State Law to FBI UCR and Clery Act definitions. Coordinate this with the State Justice Department.